



Planning Inspectorate
Arolygiaeth Gynllunio

Hearing Transcript

Project:	Lime Down Solar Project
Hearing:	Issue Specific Hearing 7 (ISH7) - Part 2
Date:	17 September 2026

Please note: This document is intended to assist Interested Parties.

It is not a verbatim text of what was said at the above hearing. The content was produced using artificial intelligence voice to text software. It may, therefore, include errors and should be assumed to be unedited.

The video recording published on the Planning Inspectorate project page is the primary record of the hearing.

FULL TRANSCRIPT (with timecode)

00:00:09:23 - 00:00:23:00

Okay. Thank you, everyone. The time is fifty five. So I'll just ask everybody to take their seats and we'll ask, miss Edwards who to continue with the environment agency, please.

00:00:27:11 - 00:00:27:26

Yes. I'm

00:00:27:28 - 00:00:35:09

just being reminded. We were at the point of the applicant responding to miss Edward's comments. So over to the applicant. Thank you.

00:00:35:13 - 00:01:05:07

Claire Bojack, the applicant. We've just had a brief discussion between the team. My understanding is that this is the first time this point has been raised, and I think it's something we need to discuss further with the environment agency. What I'm mindful of is what is the appropriate trigger because obviously permitted preliminary works includes lots of minor activities, including somebody going on just to do a walkover survey, and we don't think that that would be the trigger to start

00:01:07:00 - 00:01:41:20

water quality monitoring. But we do take on board the point regarding, to the extent that permitted preliminary works could encompass, greater works that could have an on impact the baseline, then then then we do note that point. But I think we need to have a a discussion about what's actually proportionate here, and when there would be a need, to do to do those works. But we don't as an initial thought, we don't think it's something that would need to be done before any permitted preliminary works were undertaken, and that that's not proportionate.

00:01:41:22 - 00:02:05:08

But if it's something, we could discuss further with the environment agency, to as whether we can come up with an agreed solution. Obviously, the outline construction environmental management plan sets out everything that needs to be done before the actual full construction work starts, and my understanding that's is all been agreed. It's just trying to understand what's proportionate to be done for permitted preliminary works.

00:02:05:10 - 00:02:15:28

Yes. It it comes back to this this this case of proportionality and what's the trigger. I think you you make some good points there. I will come back to miss Edwards for comment, but miss Reynolds, you you asked to come in.

00:02:16:05 - 00:03:00:08

Thank you. So just, two points on the, water management plan point. Firstly, it's just one in respect of timelines because obviously, which I believe was mentioned by miss Fisher, there's reference to the water management plan being put into a detailed camp. But given it's being referred to within the

PPW, we're just trying to understand how does that work and what controls are being put in place by reference to a document which wouldn't currently exist at the time of this plan. And then secondly was one question for the environment agency because there's reference here to the environment agency's automatic water quality monitoring network, and it may be this is just something I'd I'm just not aware of any such network.

00:03:00:10 - 00:03:07:19

So just wanted to check that it does actually exist. Okay. Thank you. On the first point, I'll come to

00:03:07:21 - 00:03:18:01

the applicant, and then we'll come to miss Edwards who can reply who can respond to what the applicant has said, and we'll stop by and down off set. So if I come to the applicant first, please, on the water management point.

00:03:18:13 - 00:03:51:12

Claire Bojic, the applicant. I think, ironically, we were trying to be helpful by making it clear what was to come at a later point in time, but obviously that has not achieved that purpose. So I think in the same point in relation to the method statements, what we're going to do in the next update is make it clearly a standalone document that doesn't cross refer to other things so that all of the measures are contained within that standalone document, that's not a cross reference to documents that are currently in outline

00:03:53:26 - 00:04:21:19

stage at the moment. We were trying to reduce its size, but I think on reflection and considering the comments that have been raised, that's caused, an element of confusion. And so we will, look to incorporate anything that's necessary, directly within or as an appendix to this particular management plan so that there isn't that, cross referencing and everyone's very clear what the measures are that will be put in place for the specific permitted preliminary works.

00:04:21:21 - 00:04:28:13

No. That that would be helpful, please. If I could come to mister Reynolds, do you want to come back on that before we go to the environment agency on your other point?

00:04:28:16 - 00:04:53:13

It it's real I don't want to raise it now, but it's just a similar point in respect of soils. I can make it very quickly. But, given there isn't actually any there's no soils method statement as far as we understand it. There's a soil management plan, and we wonder, I know the proposal was for the contractor to develop their own soils method statement. We just wonder whether or not it should also be controlled by reference to the existing soil resources management plan as well.

00:04:57:17 - 00:05:09:07

Okay. Let's, I don't want to forget about, miss Edwards, but if I do have a comment on that perspective and then briefly a comment from the applicant on that point, then we'll come back to the environment agency.

00:05:10:20 - 00:05:29:28

Claire Borje the applicant, I think for the reasons I've just said we're keen, it's probably for best us not to cross reference to other documents that are in outline, but to the extent that we need to we'll have a look at seeing if we need to bolster the information that would need to go in that method statement in this particular document just so there's that clarity there.

00:05:30:00 - 00:05:47:05

Okay. So basically, just review of the document to make sure it's not making any reference in the outline documents that won't exist at the time, and add the wording as necessary, essentially, okay, across the board. No. That that's helpful. And deadline seven, we'll see an updated document?

00:05:47:29 - 00:05:55:12

Claire Project the applicant. Yes. We'll submit it for deadline seven, but as I said, to the extent that we can get it to council in advance of.

00:05:56:11 - 00:06:15:02

That would be helpful. Thank you. Sorry, miss Reynolds. Did you have your hand there? No. No. You didn't. Okay. Right. I'm going to come now. Thank you for your patience, miss Edwards. I do appreciate that. Do you want to come in on the, water mono the automatic water monitoring network comment, please, and anything you've from the heard applicant.

00:06:15:21 - 00:06:30:09

Yeah. Of course. Sorry. Just to clarify any confusion. So, miss Edwards is our lead planner and for the environment agency's single point of contact who was originally introducing us all. I'm miss so I'm the water quality expert for the environment agency.

00:06:30:16 - 00:06:31:10

Thank you, sorry.

00:06:31:12 - 00:06:32:04

That's okay.

00:06:33:00 - 00:07:03:06

So yeah, just with regard to that monitoring network, the environment agency partakes an array of water quality monitoring around the country and I believe it's all stored and could be accessible if you kind of search online for kind of water quality explorer. It's a DEFRA hosted website and you should be able to search kind of sampling points and observations around there. So stop line dash should be able to access relevant network monitoring on that. So yeah, it does exist.

00:07:03:25 - 00:07:44:11

And in terms of responding to the applicant's point, I think we would welcome kind of greater clarity if they're able to kind of have the water management plan, at least as it stands at the moment in reference to this PPW. And, yeah, we're happy to kind of come back and clarify any points regarding kind of more wider water quality monitoring. I think we take the point that it's not gonna be significant works and understanding that proportionality aspect. I think we were just kind of concerned that if any of the works on-site were perhaps gonna be, disturbing the soil, then that could lead to that kind of increased stability and things that may influence the baseline.

00:07:44:13 - 00:08:20:26

It was just taken from, paragraph kind two of fifty one, is perhaps my confusion, but where it says the preconstruction activity for hydrology flood risk and drainage is the baseline water quality monitoring referred to in the OSEMP, which we take, but it was the fact that it was talking about baseline water quality monitoring then, but also in that table two point one, it talks about hydrology baseline water quality as part of the preliminary works, we were just a bit confused around that, but we're happy to take that offline, perhaps set up a meeting with the applicant to discuss that further.

00:08:21:06 - 00:08:30:02

But I know that we have some other kind of comments, so if I can bring in my colleague, Susie Bateson, to talk on groundwater, I know she has some comments as well.

00:08:31:29 - 00:09:05:23

Thank you. Susie Bateson for the Environment Agency. Yeah, it's a point in relation to table three point one three in relation to ground conditions. Bullet point nine states that there's a discovery and inspection strategy will be put in place for the eventuality that any unexpected contamination is encountered. And as obviously is being discussed, so if there's no approval mechanism for this document, then we would like to see the detail of that discovery and inspection strategy,

00:09:07:23 - 00:09:28:14

ideally, like, as soon as possible, and it should be included in the next iteration of the document for deadline seven, I think it was said. I mean, we're not talking a massive separate standalone document. It's just some additional paragraphs around what will be done if an unexpected contamination is identified.

00:09:31:27 - 00:09:35:25

No. Thank you. Professor Skeffington, you would like to come in,

00:09:36:21 - 00:10:07:13

Yes. Thank you. Richard Skeffington stop for lying down. Just going back to the automated water quality monitoring network, and acknowledge that there are data available in the database, the catchment data explorer that was mentioned just now. However, the data typically involves spot samples, typically once a month. This can hardly be described as an automated water quality monitoring network.

00:10:07:25 - 00:10:20:23

For context, I was involved some fifteen years ago peripherally in the development of a potential environment agency water quality monitoring network. It's perfectly feasible technically,

00:10:22:29 - 00:10:30:27

constraints prevented it happening. So from the point of view of having a water quality

00:10:33:02 - 00:10:58:01

baseline to work from, which the is thing we're talking about here, then, you know, spot sample of, I think, it's Sony on the Sheridan Avon locally where it's done now, is is not going to be adequate. But

there are ways in which it could be done, and I think somehow we ought to be able to try and integrate these into the this this process.

00:10:59:09 - 00:11:11:15

Okay. Thank you. I'd like to come back Environment Agency to on that point, please, because obviously, it's it's an important water quality is obviously an important issue here. So if I could come back to the Environment Agency to comment on professor Skeppington's point.

00:11:14:14 - 00:11:45:28

Yeah. Of course. Lucy Hirton for Environment Agency. Yeah. Apologies if I misspoke. I didn't mean to imply that all of the available data from that website was all collected automatically. But with regard to the water quality, already agreed with the applicant. I believe the applicant said a few moments ago that we were happy with the provisions that have already been put in the outline construction of management plan, and that is correct. So with regard to the water quality monitoring, we are due to be consulted on appropriate locations and parameters and frequency and methodology there.

00:11:46:00 - 00:12:15:29

So we will be in discussion to ensure that the water quality methods are robust for that kind of construction phase. And in terms of what that means, what we are looking for, and this is kind of not just this site but across all SAILAR sites, is not looking only for kind of that visual observation as that kind of initial point of contact, but it's also looking at in situ measurements and also then collecting some samples to send off to laboratories. So that has already been agreed with the applicant.

00:12:16:03 - 00:12:34:14

So from our position, it will be robust. Our comment that I raised earlier was more just in relation to how that aligns with this baseline aspect for the preliminary works just to ensure that when we've established that baseline, it is a true baseline. I hope that answers that question.

00:12:36:11 - 00:12:42:23

Thank you, that's helpful. Can I come to the applicant please? There were also comments from Ms. Bateson.

00:12:44:02 - 00:12:53:13

I'll let I think the environment agency has responded to Professor Skeffington's point there but I'll let mister Barrett come back in terms of the point raised in relation to

00:12:55:20 - 00:12:58:17

contamination events. Thank you.

00:12:59:06 - 00:13:22:24

William Barrett for the applicant. Yes, the question on the discovery and inspection strategy. So, the document would be produced by the contractor. However, for the purposes of this management plan, yes, we can produce a strategy version that will signpost exactly what would be contained within the document produced by the contractor. That's no problem.

00:13:24:21 - 00:13:30:04

Thank you. I'll bounce back to the Environment Agency, please. Does that address your your comments?

00:13:31:08 - 00:13:35:05

Susie Bateson for the environment agency. Yes. That would be welcomed. Thank you.

00:13:35:15 - 00:13:48:12

Terrific. Now before we move on, does does the environment agency have any other comments from any of their their their team who are attending today? I have a hand up. Philip Sale, please.

00:13:51:13 - 00:14:11:26

Hi there. Philip Sale on behalf of behalf the Environment Agency. Yeah. It's a comparatively minor comment, but it sort of follows on from, some of the points my colleague, miss Houghton, raised. It was just regards to table three point five within the permitted preliminary works environmental management plan document. In there, there's reference to

00:14:13:21 - 00:14:48:00

compounds, material storage and stockpiles being cited outside of flood zone 3B, which we welcome. However, there's no mention of storage of plant. Now in the outline construction environmental management plan, it does describe the plant would be stored outside of flood zone 3B when not in operation. So it'd just be helpful if those two documents could be aligned in that respect, because presumably, if it's site clearance, there may be a need to incorporate plant as part of the, yeah, PPW.

00:14:49:01 - 00:14:52:26

No. I think that's a point well made. Miss miss Broderick? Clabbrodure to

00:14:52:28 - 00:14:59:08

the applicant. Yes. We can add that the plant, when not in when not being used, wouldn't be stored there. That's happy to add that in.

00:14:59:10 - 00:15:06:16

Okay. Thank you. Before we move on, is there anyone else who wants to raise comment on this agenda item? Miss Shallowby?

00:15:07:01 - 00:15:39:05

Adet Shallowby, Wilshire Council. Hopefully, just a very, very short point. It's another one from our highways team, and it's, paragraph two point nine point one. It says the temporary compounds for the PPW will include parking areas. These will not be aggregate, and vegetation will be cleared. So it's it wasn't clear to our team that these will not be aggregate was correct. If it is correct, it's not clear how these temporary compound areas should be treated to prevent the surface breaking up and mud being carried onto the highway.

00:15:40:23 - 00:15:42:14

Happy the applicant for to respond, thank you.

00:15:42:16 - 00:16:17:02

Yeah, William Barrett, for the applicant, it's a good point. Yes, when we were pulling together the scope of this document, the intention is to minimize the number of HGVs that would be required, so the delivery of aggregate, we don't expect to be required in HGVs. So the compounds that are referred to, these are the compounds only for the preliminary works. So they'd be relatively limited scale and nature. So the, for the parking, it would be track matting and similar to protect the surface.

00:16:17:09 - 00:16:23:27

Does that need to be made more explicit within the this document, or is it already in there? Yeah. We can check that, and we

00:16:23:29 - 00:16:29:25

can add that just to draw that out and and make it clear, what the surfacing would be for the new compounds and parking.

00:16:30:04 - 00:16:32:04

Okay. Thank you. Miss Shelby, anything further?

00:16:32:06 - 00:16:38:05

I don't shall be Welsh Council. No. I mean, that would be helpful if that can be made clear, if the Highway Authority has any further comments, they can

00:16:38:07 - 00:16:39:13

do so at the next deadline.

00:16:40:17 - 00:16:42:05

Thank you. And mister Singh?

00:16:46:05 - 00:17:20:15

Rohit Singh, from Council. I would like to say that applicant has provided a number of measures to control water pollution, and we acknowledge that. But the council's remaining concern relate to strategic application of those water quality measures, including identification of high risk locations, cumulative construction phase runoff risk, inspection frequencies, intervention triggers, and circumstances in which additional temporary runoff measures or temporary such control would be required.

00:17:20:17 - 00:17:27:21

These matters are considered suitable for inclusion within the detailed CAMP and associated water management plan.

00:17:28:07 - 00:17:28:29

Thank you.

00:17:29:13 - 00:17:42:27

Keith, thank you. But in terms of the the PPW, because we'll come on to the camp. In terms of the PPW, is there anything do you feel like there's anything missing from that or or anything further you want to see within there?

00:17:45:18 - 00:17:49:20

I think, there is, I mean, has provided,

00:17:49:25 - 00:17:57:06

you know, sufficient controls for that. Yeah. No. Thank you. Anything further? Stop lying down.

00:17:59:03 - 00:18:00:21

Nothing further from us. No.

00:18:00:24 - 00:18:10:21

Okay. I'm not seeing hands in the room or online. Okay. I'm I'm happy to move on to the next agenda item, which is

00:18:16:11 - 00:18:42:26

sorry. I just had check to with my colleague. Right. Item four, outlying construction environmental management plan. I'm going to refer to this as the outline camp rather than say that. So I have a series of specific questions. And as we're talking about those particular topics, I'm happy for parties to come in rather than waiting to the end just to keep the the the focus on that particular topic. So I want to come first to

00:18:44:24 - 00:19:25:23

I would like come first to the equine mitigation measures, please. I've got four queries on this one. So on the latest version of the outline camp table twenty equine mitigation, you the applicant sets out that an equestrian expert will be appointed to community liaison group. What does what will the equestrian expert look like in terms of their qualifications, and how will they react with the existing facilities and the liaison manager. I'm just I suppose I'm wondering what additional value it brings when you're going to have delivery yards, studs, etcetera.

00:19:25:25 - 00:19:41:01

The people running these facilities, they know horses. That's that's their business. That's their thing. So can you just give me a bit further detail on what the equestrian expert brings to the process, please?

00:19:42:00 - 00:19:53:24

Claire Bojek for the applicant. I think what we were seeking to achieve here, and it may be something we can explore further on Tuesday because we don't have our equine experts at today's hearing, is that we're mindful obviously, that

00:19:55:22 - 00:20:22:06

you can't compel people to be part of a community liaison group, and we wanted to make sure that equestrian interests were heard and there was a mechanism whereby equestrian facilities and equestrian users, even if they didn't want to participate in the community liaison group, there would be somebody representing

00:20:22:13 - 00:20:24:04

their interests, and equally,

00:20:24:06 - 00:21:01:12

they could, provide they could liaise with that person specifically, and the and the expert could then raise those concerns at the community community liaison group meeting. So it was it was trying to provide somebody independent of the applicant, who could represent those interests should those bodies not wish to actively participate in the group. So that's what we were trying to achieve, by offering that up as a form of mitigation because concerns have been raised that, they wouldn't be properly represented.

00:21:01:14 - 00:21:03:04

So that that was our suggestion.

00:21:03:06 - 00:21:10:07

Okay. I follow. So you've got someone there who speaks the same language essentially. Okay. No. That's I understand that that point.

00:21:12:07 - 00:21:25:04

I'd also like to inquire, how realistic is it that construction workers will notice horses in distress, and who's responsible for identifying when a horse is in distress, see, rather than just running about a field?

00:21:26:27 - 00:22:03:10

Claire Bojic, the applicant. So the, the intention to is give the, construction workers, training on the signs to recognize. I think if you want to talk about the specifics of that, it is gonna have to wait until, next Tuesday because I'm I'm obviously not qualified to speak how you recognize if a horse is in distress. So, if you want to talk about the actual specifics of that, if we could please, defer that to to Tuesday, we will have those people. But I think the idea was that that the workers would be given training to recognize specific signs, and and that that would be an appropriate level of training given.

00:22:03:12 - 00:22:36:00

How how realistic is it that someone who's working away on on installing panels for argument's sake is going to lift their head to check on horses? I'm I'm just I'm trying to think through the practicalities and putting myself in the shoes of someone who's installing solar panels. I mean, I'm going to be focusing on the job at hand. I'm not going to be watching paddocks and fields. It might it might be a question, mister Threlfel. I mean, you've you've had experience of other solar schemes, etcetera.

00:22:36:10 - 00:22:38:26

Can you advise how it works in practice, please?

00:22:40:24 - 00:23:06:28

Will throw for for the applicant. I I can do my best, as miss Project says, some some of this advice and some of these mitigation measures have come from our veterinary specialist. I think just to pick on up

an earlier point there about the specific training, we're envisaging that being undertaken either by the same person who represents the equine industry within the CLG or could it be, in in conjunction with,

00:23:09:02 - 00:23:45:26

Stood Farm owners, for example, or, all the relevant people who are who are here locally. I think the key thing is about there's a local representative who understands that industry. In in terms of In terms of the mitigation measures that we're sort of looking to apply in close proximity to these things, it wouldn't necessarily be down to that individual who is installing panels to make a decision on the ground whether what they're doing would impact it or to check for horses. It's far more robust than that in terms of there would be clear working procedures that they would understand when they are working in close proximity to paddocks, for example.

00:23:45:28 - 00:24:16:28

There is a reliance on the communication around this. So where works do need to take place in close proximity to paddocks, for example, it would be clearly established with owners in terms of when work's taking place and what mitigation measures would be available. Once we start to go into the specifics on a veterinary side, that's where I would have to defer to Tuesday. But in terms of applying that, you have project managers, you have site managers, you potentially have sub site managers who are looking after those things.

00:24:17:10 - 00:24:26:24

There a clear working procedure set out either on the day or prior to if you're looking at construction workers, particularly when installing panels. I'll come

00:24:26:26 - 00:24:30:10

to Ms. Reynolds and then I'll I'll think what to do next.

00:24:30:12 - 00:25:06:04

Thank you. Celia Reynolds, Stop Limedown. So a lot of your questions, sir, which are Stop Limedown also had similar sort of queries in respect to the value of the equinexpert specifically within the CLG, which is not to say it isn't welcome because clearly it's directed at addressing some of the effects of the scheme. So we wonder perhaps in line with what mister Delfel has mentioned, whether references to the equinexpert could be integrated, say, within the CTMP or, more clearly within the camp. I'm not I'm just not quite sure about the value, but it's sitting specifically within the CLG if the purpose is for them to be liaising with the site manager.

00:25:06:26 - 00:25:23:14

Although we do agree that there's value in having specifically an independent person who sits on the CLG and is able to liaise with, the applicant and those working on the ground. It doesn't necessarily have to be an equine expert, although it certainly could be.

00:25:25:05 - 00:26:00:12

And and, for example, I think the point has previously been made that the, community liaison manager, slash officer, I think there's been two different references, we say should be independent. So just to make that point there. And, obviously, the the the primary way without having equine expertise myself, the primary way in which equine effects can be avoided is for them to be signposted to a lot

of, these people on the ground so that they know when construction is happening so that they can ideally seek to not have their horses positioned near near the works when they're taking place.

00:26:01:03 - 00:26:09:09

And that really emphasizes the importance of regular dialogue engagement with the CLG group on the ground. Okay.

00:26:09:11 - 00:26:43:11

Thank you, miss Reynolds. At miss Reynolds' point about integrating the equestrian expert across different management plans, I think that's a that's a good point. I think that that I would appreciate that being explored by the applicant. But I do have a I I I was going to have another couple of queries, but I think what I'll do, I'm going to park the majority of them because I think you're right, miss Broderick. You know, the these questions are bringing up a wider discussion. We now think that's better placed for Tuesday where we've actually advertised Equestrian in the agenda, and we may actually have more IPs in the room who would get more benefit from that.

00:26:43:18 - 00:27:03:23

So I will I'm going to transpose that to to Tuesday. But I do have one other question, actually, is it involves HGVs. How how are HGVs going to avoid horses safely, and how is that monitored and controlled, please?

00:27:03:27 - 00:27:07:18

Claire Borje of the applicant, do you mean HTVs on the highway network?

00:27:07:20 - 00:27:23:21

Or Sorry. Yes. I mean, I'm sorry. On on the highway network, they're coming to a horse, someone on a horse or some or a horse being led, etcetera, how is that then controlled? And and then sort of the practicalities of monitoring that, please.

00:27:31:03 - 00:27:38:28

Claire Project for the applicant. We're just trying to find the correct reference, so I'm going back to the construction traffic management plan, which I think

00:27:41:03 - 00:27:48:08

let's just, we'll find the reference because we did add in measures in relation to the highway, but I believe it's in

00:27:51:04 - 00:27:53:02

the different management plan, but just bear with us a moment.

00:27:53:04 - 00:28:01:07

Yes, there's measures in there. I'm just wondering, practical level, how is it monitored predominantly? That's that's my concern.

00:28:34:27 - 00:28:41:26

Claire Bosch of the applicant. So we've into the construction outline traffic management plan

00:28:44:08 - 00:28:58:12

how drivers would be trained and the procedure they should follow in respect of encountering. We're not proposing to monitor how many times somebody does have to overtake a horse

00:28:58:14 - 00:29:28:21

or No. Sorry. What I what I meant by by monitoring, it was more how do we and I I I don't like explore to every what if scenario, so plea I'm not going down that route. It's simply a question of, you know, someone someone phones up, say, for argument's sake. Someone throws up the site manager and says, oh, I was passed today at twelve twenty four. Laurie was, you know, yay close to me, and the horse got spooked, he drove off at fifty miles per hour or whatever. How is that sort of thing then dealt with? Because that's sort of a he said, she said, situation.

00:29:28:24 - 00:29:35:23

So I'm just wondering how is it how do practically you enforce those measures, I suppose, I'm really is what I'm really trying to get to.

00:29:36:08 - 00:30:06:27

Claire Project, the applicant. So we obviously have the complaints procedure, that's set out both in the construction traffic management plan and the, outline camp, and then that would be the ongoing role of also the community liaison group to the extent that, obviously, if if it was felt that the complaints weren't being addressed appropriately, something that's that could be raised in the community liaison group. But it would be the same in relation to any other noncompliance with any of the measures.

00:30:06:29 - 00:30:24:04

The complaint would be investigated, and then appropriate measures would be taken. I don't think it's a concern that's specific to this particular issue. This is just the the way in which complaints are addressed as part of any of the measures, put in place during construction for a a scheme of this

00:30:24:09 - 00:30:54:29

I suppose the the difference lies in that if you're on a bicycle for argument's sake or you're walking, you've got more control over bicycle. Of course, you can just jump onto the verge if you're walking. A horse, on the other hand, has its own mind and reacts in its own way. You know, they have their own ways of of doing things? You know, some horses have a leaf blowing across the path, and they stop and get a bit bit bit freaked out by that. Other horses, you know, wouldn't notice a bomb going off. That's just the the nature of of of the beast, so to speak.

00:30:55:04 - 00:30:55:19

So

00:30:57:05 - 00:31:04:25

the the the issue here is that it's it's one that's perhaps rather more serious. I mean, I'm happy to park it to Tuesday.

00:31:05:12 - 00:31:42:28

Klabojev Theoken, think that was better because I I to can't horse behavior and and those sorts of things. So, we believe the wording in terms of monitoring, not sort of raising of complaints, and how those complaints would be dealt with is, is appropriate. And we do actually think that having an independent equestrian expert as part of the community liaison group means that there would be somebody there who, has a greater there would definitely be somebody within the group who has an understanding of the issues that are being being raised by Equestrian users, who may not be themselves part of that group.

00:31:43:00 - 00:32:08:26

So that was another reason for making sure that there is somebody who speaks the right language, if if that makes sense, understands genuinely the concerns being raised, being part of the CLG, and that they will be able to collate those and articulate them and and make and also make sure that the applicant was obviously listening to them and and doing things. So that that part of the functionality of that. I think in terms of more bespoke monitoring, I I we can't think of anything

00:32:09:03 - 00:32:10:09

the time to explore.

00:32:10:11 - 00:32:12:21

Do that, but Tuesday, in terms of horse behavior, is is Yeah.

00:32:12:23 - 00:32:27:06

I mean, if you could if you well, my my questions probably are fairly fairly obvious actually to you. So, yes, if you could sort of prep the the equator experts who'll be here on Tuesday, then that's that would be helpful, and we can go get through some of these questions, whiz through them.

00:32:29:25 - 00:32:36:11

So given we'll park the equestrian issues until Tuesday, I propose to to move on to

00:32:38:04 - 00:32:40:06

sorry. Stop lying down. Did you want to come in?

00:32:41:03 - 00:33:21:29

Yes. And very quickly, just while we're on the complaints procedure, so obviously, specifically within the camp, I believe it's set at two point one five point one to two point one five point four. The the the process which applicant the has outlined is set out there, basically providing that complaints will be recorded within a logbook of complaints. And then we have some concern as to what's actually going to be done with those complaints. I know this was the point raised yesterday, but at two point one five point two, it says, any environmental complaints received will be investigated, which we welcome, with appropriate action taken and recorded so that a full audit trail is available should the complainant raise the issue with the local authority.

00:33:22:16 - 00:33:47:27

And, again, just to emphasize the point, what SLD are really looking for is some sort of there's a complaint made. There's a response. There's transparency. And they don't necessarily have to accept the complaint as being reasonable, but there's some sort of action indicated publicly. I realize some of

this will be addressed within the terms of reference, but currently, as described within the camp, this isn't something which SLD thinks is sufficient.

00:33:49:24 - 00:34:06:09

Well, I'm to happy explore that issue. So, I mean, ultimately, I presume complaints just once they're investigated, don't just go into a black hole and, you know, how what what what is the outcome? What is the potential outcome of a complaint? How is it triggered, etcetera? Can the applicant talk us through some of that, please?

00:34:19:19 - 00:34:47:03

I think if we look at the construction traffic management plan, the detail about the complaints processes is is much more, explained, and it just probably needs those to be posed trans into the camp, because it talks about you receive it, you'll acknowledge it by receipt. There's a turnaround of, you know, one working day from the completion of the investigation, and and and it just might be that they just need to be transposed into the camp as well.

00:34:47:15 - 00:35:02:14

Claire Bottrop the up yes. That was just the discussion we were having. We can't see any reason that those, measures couldn't be carried across, so that the same complaints procedure, in relation to, traffic measures applies to the other measures that have gone in the camp. Yeah.

00:35:03:22 - 00:35:06:21

Oh, thank you, missus Labour. I'm I'm content with that.

00:35:09:10 - 00:35:42:25

Mister Kiselka, thank you, sir. Dominic Kiselka, stop lying down. Appreciate being pointed in that direction. One just as a question, is there any is there any oversight of that complaints process? I I raised this because I I've I've seen it come up in other DCOs about, other solar DCOs about the requirement for, obviously, with the applicant who deals with the complaints process internally, but then making records available to the local planning authority at regular intervals. So say, you know, you provide the complaint logbook and the outcomes every six months or once a year.

00:35:42:27 - 00:35:56:28

It may be that that's already provided for over some other mechanism of oversight. It it yeah. Just some clarity on that would be useful. I mean, you'll appreciate it's ultimately just a matter of making sure people aren't marking their own homework.

00:35:58:16 - 00:36:33:25

Cleveridge, at the moment, I think at the moment, it says that the the trail would be available should the, local authority wish to see it rather than a proactive provision of that information in the outline. Kemp at the moment, so the if that is something that Wiltshire council like would to have, list of all of the complaints on a on a regular basis, then we are happy to provide that. But it may I don't know if it's something that they actively want to receive or whether they would like to have access to it on request, which is kind of what was

00:36:33:27 - 00:36:45:15

Well, yes. I think access on request. I I can I can understand the council may not wish to receive details of every single complaint, but perhaps as a on request and I'll I'll bring in missus Labour, please?

00:36:45:17 - 00:37:11:03

Yeah. Just going back to the construction traffic management plan, there's also a measure in the complaints process where there's a standing agenda item for the community liaison group to ensure that, you know, those complaints are discussed. So I think there is an oversight, and it's probably if the council wishes to request, they will be sitting on that community liaison group or some representative of it. It may be that there's no need to to do anything further, to that document.

00:37:12:04 - 00:37:15:25

Oh, Danny, because I've stopped lying down. Yes. Yeah. If

00:37:18:19 - 00:37:33:03

there's nothing further from the complaints procedure, I shall move on to air quality. So table nine in the outline camp sets out for measures dust monitoring. Can the applicant confirm with the intent to conduct both proactive and reactive dust monitoring, please?

00:38:14:02 - 00:38:29:27

Sorry, we just want to clarify. The question was specifically in relation to Dust measure, wasn't it? That you had to ask the specific question in there. And, obviously, we have there's the measures that will be put in place to

00:38:31:20 - 00:38:55:02

manage the dust in a dust management plan, and then the table requires that to be, obviously, the inspections to take place. So the dust management plan would include all of the measures that are designed to reduce any dust in the first instance, and then it would obviously be monitored and checked to make sure it was working

00:38:57:09 - 00:38:58:10

in terms of

00:38:59:28 - 00:39:02:10

those site inspections.

00:39:05:00 - 00:39:11:13

Sorry, didn't quite get the point in terms of so the proactive measures are set out in that dust management?

00:39:11:15 - 00:39:19:01

Well, I suppose it's then do you confirm you're going to conduct both pre active and reactive dust monitoring?

00:39:21:04 - 00:39:27:15

So obviously we have the proactive measures, the dampening down of areas when it's dry and these sorts of things. Then

00:39:30:23 - 00:39:45:02

reactive dust monitoring, if you'd recognize there is an issue, if everyone's on recognizes there is a dust issue, you know, an area that's, I suppose, not been dampened or, etcetera, then how's that reactive element undertaken, please?

00:39:45:06 - 00:40:18:02

Yes. William Barrett for the for the applicant. I understand now where you're going with the proactive description there. Yeah. For dust, yeah, in the monitoring requirements, a lot of that relates to, the right hand column. So increasing frequency of site inspections, for example. So in those events where you've got higher levels of wind or higher level of material that might be more susceptible to dust, then you would increase the frequency of the inspections and then make sure that you have the availability of any dampening down or any methods that you would need in those particular situations.

00:40:18:07 - 00:40:24:09

So I think if that's what you're to thinking of or describing as proactive, those are the types of measures.

00:40:25:01 - 00:40:32:02

Okay. That's and that that's without going in and checking the document, that's in there and yeah. Okay. No. That's that's fine.

00:40:33:25 - 00:40:43:08

Another question then on on air quality. How will you determine whether it's the applicant's construction activities are response for any increases in dust deposition?

00:40:53:05 - 00:41:02:16

So this is we don't have our air quality specialist here on the table, but she might be online if Hannah's available. That would be appreciated.

00:41:04:14 - 00:41:10:27

Anna Deneke could able just to introduce herself before she speaks and perhaps she can describe the monitoring activities that

00:41:10:29 - 00:41:11:16

Please, please.

00:41:11:18 - 00:41:14:10

Would be undertaken, but just see if she is available.

00:41:25:28 - 00:41:28:20

May appear may appear not, unfortunately.

00:41:29:11 - 00:41:32:19

And if if you want to come back in writing at deadline deadline seven, then

00:41:34:00 - 00:42:07:22

Yes. So my understanding, is that the, there would be, monitoring locations agreed, with the local authority, and to establish baseline the monitoring. And then at least three months before the work commences on-site or before that particular phase commences, then, so that that you can establish the baseline and then the ongoing monitoring would then establish whether, the any increases in dust were attributable to the works that were being undertaken for this particular scheme as opposed to

00:42:07:24 - 00:42:08:09

Okay.

00:42:08:11 - 00:42:16:20

Originating from from somewhere else. I think, our relevant expert wasn't currently logged in, but, I don't if you know need anything more

00:42:16:22 - 00:42:24:10

than that. I'll just come over to the council to ask if they have any comments on that. But otherwise, no, I think that answers the question. Miss Shelby?

00:42:24:12 - 00:42:27:00

I don't actually have the council. Not as far as I'm aware. No.

00:42:27:02 - 00:43:01:27

No. Okay. That fine. I'm going to if anyone else has anything to raise on air quality, I'll propose to move on to my next question, which revolt is arboriculture. So I'd like to discuss a bit more in terms of works to trees and notification periods, please. At deadline five, Wiltshire Council commented under the second examining authority questions, d c o two point one, that's rep one five one two, that they are seeking several changes in additions to the approach to our borough aboriculture.

00:43:01:29 - 00:43:31:00

This includes changes to the wording regarding notification and interpretation of dead and dangerous trees, works to trees conservation areas, and the relevant notification periods for such works. Now I'm paraphrasing their mistake, so I I hope I've covered that succinctly and accurately. So I'll come to Wilshire Council first, please. And given the applicant's response to deadline six, have your concerns been addressed, or do they remain outstanding? And if so, can you summarize which remains outstanding? And I'll come back to the applicant, please.

00:43:33:02 - 00:43:42:01

Kate Tate, the Water Council. Yes, so we are we have had a lot of discussion about this over the last couple of weeks. And

00:43:43:16 - 00:43:48:10

a five day a five working day notice has been

00:43:50:16 - 00:44:02:23

discussed and recently approved with the applicant in the sense that we will be given five day notice, working day notice for category A and B and

00:44:05:10 - 00:44:14:11

veteran ancient trees should they need to be removed. However, the issue that we have is that we are being notified of

00:44:16:06 - 00:44:55:12

the removal of these trees, but we have no recourse. So technically, notification is ineffective. It is meaningless because even though they're telling us what they're proposing to do, we have no, we can give no endorsement, we can give no opinion, we can give no recourse, during time. that And I think that's where we need to be adding additional wording. But this will across a lot of the management plans and within Article forty and forty one of the DCO, it allows allows for the felling of any tree to accommodate the, operation of the authorized development.

00:44:55:14 - 00:45:08:15

Well, there's an issue there straight away because it contradicts an awful lot of what we've been discussing, but it also means that a lot of what's in the management plans is also not

00:45:11:21 - 00:45:46:20

really it contradicts that as well. I mean, it says in the management plans, veteran trees will not be removed, and I have no doubt that that is the intention and very much possibly the case. We're talking a sixty year term here, so we're still not, you know, it has to be robust, but we're still actually not clear on how we can make decisions and on trees that are, veteran or ancient right now, and what we're gonna do with them is sixty years or over the sixty year period. So I think there needs a bit more clarification within the DCO and within the management plans.

00:45:46:22 - 00:46:30:22

Obviously, DCO is next week, but management plans at the moment, because it all interlinks with each other as to the wording. So it's something that is under discussion at the moment with the applicant. So, yeah, at the moment, we do want to be notified. We don't want to be notified single about tree that is removed because we have our own jobs to do and we don't have the resource to do that. But, the four the top four categories we are interested in, and we do feel that we should have the same sort of ability as we would have under a five day notice, section one hundred ninety eight of the Town and Country Planning Act for the tree preservation orders,

00:46:32:17 - 00:46:52:29

in the sense that we have the five working days to determine the works, their probability and whether or not we should or shouldn't be discussing alternative measures rather than the loss of these trees. So, yeah, that is something we that have got a meeting planned for next week to to discuss.

00:46:53:01 - 00:47:00:15

Okay. No. That that's helpful to clarify. You didn't mention trees and conservation areas. Are you looking for the same Yes. Trees and conservation areas? Yes. Okay. Thank you.

00:47:00:17 - 00:47:01:28

Unprotected trees as well.

00:47:02:10 - 00:47:02:25

Yeah.

00:47:05:27 - 00:47:11:15

There are a number of other aboricultural points that miss Tate wants to make under this heading. She do it now, or do you want to go

00:47:13:08 - 00:47:15:20

Yes. Back to Let let let's do that now. Let's air

00:47:15:22 - 00:47:19:13

all the concerns, and we'll get them out, and we'll come to the applicant for commentary.

00:47:20:05 - 00:47:34:19

Kate Tate for Wiltshire Council. Okay. So, again, these are all under discussion. The applicant are aware of the conversations that we've had. Not everyone was present on Monday, so, I'm bringing them up now just so just for clarification, really, so that they are

00:47:37:23 - 00:48:09:01

sort of they've given the opportunity to actually prove them if they would want to today. So the management plan issues with regards to the five day notice obviously is ongoing. The criteria for the agricultural clerk of works was discussed on Monday. The details have been agreed in respect of the wording. It was just wanted to make sure that the wording was going to be included within the OEMP, the CAMP and the LEMP as well.

00:48:09:08 - 00:48:17:16

So as far as I know, this is not an issue, and that the wording can be included in these documents.

00:48:19:23 - 00:48:27:01

Is that do you have other points to make, or is it dependent on the applicant's answer to that question?
No.

00:48:29:05 - 00:48:30:28

Sorry. That's just it's just for

00:48:31:00 - 00:48:37:05

them to say I mean, obviously, I don't know whether or not Will's actually had a chance to to look at this yet, but this could be open for Mondays.

00:48:37:07 - 00:48:38:23

Yeah. This this sounds like a

00:48:38:25 - 00:49:11:28

a very recent conversation. So mister, please. Yeah. Will for the applicant. Yeah. Miss Tate's right. We we've got a call. I believe we're able to close off all almost everything, and with a further discussion, everything. I think Monday's call is probably best the place to finalize all this. And then don't foresee any outstanding issues. I think we just need to clarify with you details around what happens after the fixed design is in because that will dramatically reduce any need for for some of these.

00:49:12:12 - 00:49:18:07

But but miss miss Tate's points that have been raised with us directly, are applicable at the moment, which we think we've got a

00:49:18:09 - 00:49:25:28

solution between us. On this point, I mean, it it sound it sounds like this is a conversation which,

00:49:27:16 - 00:49:39:28

perhaps this gender item is premature. Should you if this is going to be discussed in further detail, is I mean, apart from giving other parties a chance to air their concerns for aboriculture,

00:49:41:21 - 00:49:45:21

do we park this issue for an an update at deadline seven?

00:49:46:03 - 00:49:51:26

Kate date oh, Kate date at Welch Council. Yeah. Absolutely. I can give a very brief outline of the other issues.

00:49:52:04 - 00:50:10:06

I I don't want to rush you, miss Tate. I mean, we are this is on agenda. I do want to know about it. I'm not trying to dismiss it by any stretch. But if if if this is going to be a discussion between you both come Monday, I'm more than happy to hear your other concerns to air them. Absolutely. And I'm and I I would like to hear those.

00:50:10:08 - 00:50:17:22

Before you do, miss Tate, keep trying to catch mister Lazar. He's ignoring me. So forgive me for jumping in.

00:50:20:28 - 00:50:25:20

From my own position, we are dealing with the DCO next week,

00:50:27:13 - 00:50:59:29

Wednesday afternoon, possibly into Thursday, article where forty one around certain trees, articles forty and forty one are on the agenda. So I don't know if your discussions are around things in management plans that you're having or if it's around the wording in those articles. If it's relating to the latter and the next point going you're to make are relating to the DCO issues, then it might be best that we just get an update next week on this. But if it's around management plans, obviously, that's what we're discussing now. So it's really in your call to to guide me.

00:51:00:14 - 00:51:01:01

Okay.

00:51:01:03 - 00:51:41:10

Thanks. Kate Tate for Council. Because it's so interlinked with the wording that's within the DCO, this is management plan, so this is relating to, agricultural statements which are, agreed and, through the, detailed camp. So, you know, there are things for for instance, Sore and Dalwood, the widening of the track protection, was as agreements in place or certainly the weddings in place for, tree protection and how the how the widening is going to be carried out, how it's gonna take place, materials and methods to be used.

00:51:41:12 - 00:52:14:12

And this is all going to be incorporated within agricultural the method statement so that the ground protection for the existing track and the widening materials to use for the sort of confinement systems, for instance, are appropriate for the weight of the vehicles are that going to be, using the track to go to the compound. And we are aware as well that this compound is temporary. So the track is not gonna be in use for the full term of the scheme. So which is very reassuring as well. But, so it's just it's it's making sure that those,

00:52:16:08 - 00:53:01:00

processes are in place in the management plans, in the certified management plans. So obviously, arboculture method statement and impact assessment and the tree protection plan are not, standalone documents. So we have to make sure that they are the wording is in the camp and the detailed camp as well as, the detailed LEMP and the detailed AMS. And so we have. Yeah. I thoroughly agree. So, so, yeah, so it is I think that but these details these matters are going to be discussed on Monday, so I'm happy, if we can, have discussion the on Monday and there's any issues I can we can bring this up next week, then I would be quite happy to leave

00:53:01:02 - 00:53:01:17

this

00:53:01:19 - 00:53:03:10

off the agenda for today. Yeah.

00:53:03:12 - 00:53:09:01

I mean, we've got obviously another issue on the Tuesday around ecology. At

00:53:09:03 - 00:53:09:18

this

00:53:09:20 - 00:53:34:22

point, we're still unclear whether we need to hold the open floor hearing because the, obviously, invites for that close today or or request to speak at that. If we don't, obviously, the ecology hearing won't be cut short so soon, so it might be that we get to discuss it then because obviously it's interrelated with ecology as well. But if we're not, then we will certainly revisit, the DCO hearing. Kate, take what you got. And then we'll have position from parties as well.

00:53:34:24 - 00:53:36:23

Yeah, absolutely. Thanks. Thank you.

00:53:40:08 - 00:53:50:23

Thank you. So on that note, does anyone have anything else they'd like to comment on arboriculture bearing in mind we'll hopefully get to it on Tuesday? Mister Singh?

00:53:52:27 - 00:53:57:00

As agriculture and hydrology are interrelated,

00:53:58:22 - 00:54:26:02

we would like to point out that it is important that the outlined camp should recognize this importance and should have a meta statement where woodland edges, riparian trees, hedgerows associated with overland flow routes, and vegetation within erosion sensitive locations, and set out how these features will be protected during construction.

00:54:29:18 - 00:54:30:19

Ms Tate?

00:54:31:06 - 00:55:05:08

Kate Tate from Welch Council. Yeah. So we we are we know that they're going to be tree protection plan and as discussed earlier with the PPW, we'll make sure that that we'll discuss about having the PP the tree protection plan as a pre commencement so that before any site clearance is carried out, the tree protection is approved by the Agricultural Clerk of Works, can be the LPA as well if applicant is happy for the LPA to do that, to have that written in there.

00:55:05:11 - 00:55:13:20

So there should be sufficient protection for woodlands and the trees and the hedgerows as well

00:55:15:14 - 00:55:19:26

within the detailed AMS and detailed camp.

00:55:23:02 - 00:55:28:25

Is there anything the applicant wants to come back on that? I mean, I'm just assuming those comments are all going to be discussed on Monday.

00:55:30:01 - 00:55:52:16

Yes. So the the applicant considers that the points raised by mister Singh are already being addressed by the management plans and obviously the wording of those is, gonna be discussed on on Monday to make sure that there's agreement on there. So I I believe mister Singh's concerns are already adequately addressed and there's no we don't need to add anything additional into the management plans to address the point he's raised. Thank you.

00:55:52:28 - 00:56:23:09

Thank you. If there's no further comments on arboriculture, we'll park that until, hopefully, Tuesday. So I'd like to move on then to horizontal directional drilling. Now at deadline six, National Highways made a submission rep six zero seven eight in their response the second to round of recent questions reference EB two point one eight. And I know the council are in agreement with National Highways without reading without reading out the entire submission

00:56:24:29 - 00:56:45:17

of from National Highways, I know the council and and they are are in agreement on this matter regarding HDD. Does the applicant and I do note the applicant's response to it. Does the applicant have anything further to add? I mean, what I'm really looking for here is an update with respect to these comments that the council and National Highways are in agreement with and just to see where we are with things, please.

00:56:51:16 - 00:57:23:06

Will throw for the applicant. There isn't anything further formal from our last response on this. We've obviously gone through the CD six twenty two process. What has been agreed is our inputs, assessments and our surveys are all suitable and everything that we've identified has been agreed, as I understand it, by National Highways. I believe it has to go to an independent expert at that point for approval, and I haven't, had an update on that happening. I understand that can be a slightly slow process.

00:57:24:29 - 00:57:44:21

But from our point of view as an applicant, we have everything agreed with national highways into how, crossing alignments and things like that, and the depths, minimum depth that we would go to, for example, is eleven point six meters, and that's been established through all of that. So that's the latest that we understand on this crossing point.

00:57:45:05 - 00:57:50:03

Do the council want to come back on any point terms in of their understanding of the current position in HDD?

00:57:51:02 - 00:57:58:15

Ottach Shadaby, will she counsel I don't think so. Just to double check that there's nothing miss Fisher wants to add if she's still online.

00:58:03:07 - 00:58:06:04

I don't think I don't think there's any further comments from the council at this point.

00:58:06:06 - 00:58:14:10

Mister Thirlpool, in terms of, an updated position then excuse me. Oh, sorry. Hang on. We do have a comment miss from Fisher. Please, miss Fisher.

00:58:15:16 - 00:58:35:10

Apologies, Emma Fisher, which counts as sorry. Was a bit slow with my unmute and camera button there. Yeah, I don't have anything specific to add aside from just a comment that I have made in writing, I can provide in the post hearing summary if it's helpful, or

00:58:36:25 - 00:58:52:00

I can just advise you now what I just sort of, just a minor point I'd highlighted, it wasn't specific to that representation though, so I don't know if you want to park it for me to raise it later, or whether you want me to just make the point that I've set

00:58:52:02 - 00:58:52:22

out Yeah, in writing

00:58:52:24 - 00:58:57:04

writing we're on HDD, I'd like to hear it, please.

00:58:57:06 - 00:58:58:22

Okay, so

00:59:00:27 - 00:59:12:11

obviously in respect of the camp, we note the inclusions within that, in table three, and

00:59:14:11 - 00:59:55:16

its use specifically as well within the cable route corridor of sensitive features, and obviously we welcome that, and we understand as well that the environment agencies requirements and advice look to have been taken on board, which is likewise welcomed. One point that I would just make is that in table three it stipulates that the HDD will be monitored the HDD- beg your pardon, monitoring will take place during HDD underwater courses, and we would just make a suggestion perhaps

00:59:57:05 - 01:00:33:12

if it's helpful, if you feel it would be permissible, in respect of that monitoring, where that identifies the need for any further adaptive or additional measures to avoid impacts on ecological receptors, that that should be swiftly formulated and agreed with the respective parties such as the EA, the LLFA and the council's ecology team as and where appropriate, and then obviously implemented to sort of reduce any impacts that the monitoring might actually identify could well be taking place.

01:00:33:14 - 01:00:52:11

And so we would just suggest that perhaps SEM the PIZ revise to detail that requirement, because that doesn't appear to currently be in there, because obviously it talks about that there will be monitoring, but it doesn't really discuss what would then take place if the monitoring were to identify the need for further measures.

01:00:54:08 - 01:01:06:17

So it was just really a sort of a follow on detail would be helpful within the SEMP in respect of what happens if the monitoring does identify impacts occurring.

01:01:07:26 - 01:01:36:24

No, that's good. And I think actually that leads on to a query I have, which relates to the breakout contingency procedure. on And the back of what you've just heard from miss Fisher, because I will come back to the applicant on this, should the breakout contingency procedure be detailed now?

Should it come forward now for parties to see and comment on? So if you could if the applicant could please comment on miss Fisher's comments and the, contingency procedure note I make.

01:02:04:01 - 01:02:05:12

Guess we're just trying to

01:02:07:19 - 01:02:43:01

establish what's being specifically asked for here. Obviously, in terms of that first point, you would be in terms of the practical consequences of this, obviously, you would commence your HDD. You put in place mitigation measures that you think are appropriate. You commence your HDD, and then, you would then monitor for that things like, sediment release and other things if you're talking about going under a water course. But you don't tend to other than you wouldn't stop the HDD mid drill, if that makes sense.

01:02:43:03 - 01:03:17:17

It would be looking about what remedial action may be required after the HDD has completed its crossing. Is it that what I I just wanted to double check what was actually being asked for here because it wasn't exactly clear if we're talking about any remedial action that might be required as a result of that drilling having taken place in that location versus it it's not a case that you would don't stop the drill mid to crossing aside from in the scenarios, which is a separate separate point about bentonite breakouts and other machines.

01:03:17:20 - 01:03:20:23

Well, Ms. Fisher has her hand up, so if I bring her back in please.

01:03:23:17 - 01:04:20:17

Emma Fisher for Wiltshire Council. Yeah, I just thought apologies to jump in without being asked, but yes, I can see that perhaps the way I'd phrased that wasn't entirely clear, and I can see the point that Ms. Roderick is making, so just to clarify, guess, yes, I fully appreciate that you can't stop HDD once it's underway at a discrete location, so I think to answer her question, yes, I guess I was sort of suggesting that firstly it would be good if there could be some wording in the SEMP itself just to clarify that there will be a protocol that will be followed if at a discrete location the HDD monitoring identifies that there are some impacts occurring on species, for example, and that there will therefore need to be perhaps a slightly different approach taken if there are other HDD locations

01:04:22:08 - 01:04:55:02

along that watercourse, for example. So I think it was just to provide a little bit more wording around that and what that actually would entail in terms of the protocol going forwards, and also yes, in response to the question around remedial works or some sort of compensatory works, yes, again, any more detail on that would also be helpful. So I don't know if that clarifies things a little bit. I'm fully appreciating that you can't stop once you've already commenced at a discrete location.

01:04:57:16 - 01:04:59:07

Thank you. Does that help, Ms Broderick?

01:04:59:09 - 01:05:16:09

Clearwater, again. Thank you, yes, because obviously there are some of the management plans contain specific measures where you would cease the HDD in certain circumstances, and I believe Mr Tims can obviously, if it's required, there's measures in the EPMS that relate to

01:05:18:07 - 01:05:41:11

sediment release and those sorts of things. So I think there is a range of measures across both this document and then what's contained in the ecological protection and mitigation strategy. But I think unless you want to hear more on what's in the management other plan, it might just be something that we take away and see whether there's any further wording that we can add in terms of how those plans

01:05:43:23 - 01:05:45:01

cross refer to one another.

01:05:45:03 - 01:06:17:07

Yes, it might be a question of, I say, cross referring or copying the wording or whatever it is to to ensure that point. And then coming on to to my my question then about, the breakout contingency procedure, which to is be included in the details SEMP to manage accidental releases of drilling fluid, that not be submitted now for IPs to review? Or when it is submitted, could you just confirm the consultation process on that?

01:06:17:09 - 01:06:27:29

Yes, William Barrett for the applicant. The full breakout contingency procedure will be developed and produced by the contractor. However, what

01:06:28:01 - 01:06:28:16

we could

01:06:28:18 - 01:06:56:12

do is we can develop like an outline procedure or a technical note, and the technical note would effectively specify what the expectation is, the level of detail, what will be provided within the breakout contingency procedure, and also the steps and methods that we followed, for that to be reviewed and approved. It would be reviewed and approved as part of the detailed, camp. That will be the intention.

01:06:59:28 - 01:07:15:03

No. That's helpful. Thank you very much. I think before we before we finish up here then, we'll take that as an action point deadline seven. Thank you. I'll come to the environment agency to if they have see any comments on this particular point and then we'll come around the room.

01:07:21:06 - 01:07:53:20

Hi. Lucy Houghton, for the environment agency. So with regard to your drilling fluid breakout contingency comment, from our perspective, the fact that they've committed to doing one, which is one of our original comments, we're happy that we would kind of be consulted on that detail at the discharge of that requirement and any other concerns we had regarding mitigation and kind of distances from kind of launching reception pits had already been resolved earlier on in this examination process as per our comments in rep three one four six.

01:07:53:23 - 01:07:58:10

So we're satisfied for HDD. K. Terrific.

01:08:00:25 - 01:08:01:10

Do

01:08:01:12 - 01:08:07:06

we have national highways on the line? And if wondered if so. I wondered if they had any comment to make on this on HDD matters.

01:08:11:15 - 01:08:21:29

Julie Russell on behalf of National Highways. No. We've got no further comments. We're happy what's with in the camp in relation to HDD.

01:08:22:28 - 01:08:30:06

Terrific. Thank you very much. I'll come around the room then. Do we have any comments regarding HDD from the further comments from the council regarding HDD?

01:08:31:01 - 01:08:32:28

Don't think so. No.

01:08:33:15 - 01:08:34:07

Same.

01:08:34:09 - 01:08:35:08

Oh, sorry.

01:08:39:15 - 01:09:20:21

Yeah. As a representative of the council, myself, mister Singh, I would like to say that the applicant has substantially addressed LGD construction risks through hydrogeological assessment, drilling, fluid controls, and contingency measures. The remaining LLFA interest is ensuring that the detailed crossing methodologies include clear restoration objectives, post construction verification of drainage and watercourse function, and a proportionate process for identifying and responding to any unexpected impacts on flood risk, drainage connectivity, or water coast performance.

01:09:20:24 - 01:09:21:15

Thank you.

01:09:21:18 - 01:09:30:27

K. Thank you. Stop lying down. Do you have any comments on HDD? No. Okay. I'll come back to the applicant. Do you have any response for mister Singh or any further comments you wish to make?

01:09:43:05 - 01:09:47:18

Clairvoyj of the applicant. Nothing further. Think those points are already captured within the management class.

01:09:47:20 - 01:10:02:17

Okay. Thank you very much. Well, that being the case, it is five past one. So what I suggest we do is we finish up for an hour's lunch, then we come back and continue on under this matter. Thank you. We'll return at five past two.